UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
CHRISTINA MELITO, CHRISTOPHER LEGG,	:	DATE FILED: 9/20/2017
ALISON PIERCE and WALTER WOOD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	: L	14-CV-2440 (VEC)
Plaintiffs,	: :	<u>ORDER</u>
-against-	:	
AMERICAN EAGLE OUTFITTERS, INC., and A MANAGEMENT CO.,	EO: :	
Defendants.	: Y	
AMERICAN EAGLE OUTFITTERS, INC., and A MANAGEMENT CO.,	EO:	
Third-Party Plainting	fs, :	
-against-	:	
EXPERIAN MARKETING SOLUTIONS, INC.,	:	
Third-Party Defend		
VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:	X	

WHEREAS on September 8, 2017, the Court issued an Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Dismissing Class Plaintiffs' Claims and Entering Final Judgment ("Final Approval Order"), Dkt. 319;

WHEREAS Paragraph 27 of the Final Approval Order states: "Based upon this Court's finding that there is no just reason for delay of enforcement or appeal of this Final Approval Order And Judgment notwithstanding this Court's retention of jurisdiction to oversee implementation and enforcement of the Agreement, this Court directs the Clerk to enter final judgment against AEO pursuant to Rule 54(b)." Dkt. 319;

WHEREAS Third-Party Defendant Experian requested that the Court amend the Final

Approval Order to state the reasons for its determination that there is no just reason for delay of

enforcement or appeal of the Final Approval Order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

54(b), Dkt. 320;

WHEREAS the Court ordered Plaintiffs and Defendants American Eagle Outfitters, Inc.,

and AEO Management Co. ("AEO") to show cause why Paragraph 27 of the Final Approval

Order should not be vacated and stricken, Dkt. 321;

WHEREAS on September 19, 2017, Plaintiffs and AEO submitted a letter stating reasons

why there is no just reason for delay of enforcement or appeal of the Final Approval Order and

that final judgment should be entered against AEO pursuant to Rule 54(b), Dkt. 324;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Paragraph 27 of the Final Approval Order is

AMENDED to state the following:

27. Based upon this Court's finding that the Agreement does not affect the claims in the third-party action between AEO and Experian and that the equities favor entry of final independs against AEO as that the Settlement Class Marshaus are received we relieve to the settlement of t

judgment against AEO so that the Settlement Class Members can receive monetary relief from the Class Settlement, there is no just reason for delay of enforcement or appeal of this Final Approval Order And Judgment. Therefore, this Court directs the Clerk to enter final judgment against AEO pursuant to Rule 54(b). This Court retains jurisdiction to

oversee implementation and enforcement of the Agreement.

SO ORDERED.

Date: September 20, 2017

New York, NY

VALERIE CAPRONI

United States District Judge

2